

# Science for the Next Generation

All students, all (natural) sciences

Helen Quinn

# Need for new science standards

- Changing student experience
- Changing world
- Changing science knowledge
- Changing understanding of learning

# Changing student experience

Children arrive in school with

- Less experience of natural world  
urbanization

- Less experience of mechanical world

electronic devices replacing mechanical

- More experience of technology and media

electronic toys and cell phones everywhere

than prior generations

# Changing world

- More jobs require technical and scientific capabilities
- More decisions (both personal and political) require understanding of complex scientific issues
- More data access, data-rich information need for data interpretation (in daily life).

# Changing science

- Disciplinary boundaries diminishing  
(school subjects defined in late 1800's)
- New world issues (climate change; food, energy, and water needs of growing populations; loss of biodiversity)
- Science, engineering, technology and society interconnections pervasive

# Changing understandings of cognitive science and learning

- Rote learning (facts and procedures) does not readily transfer to application in new situations.
- Conceptual change vs layering “schooled knowledge” over naïve conceptions
- Building coherent knowledge systems  
“Deeper learning”

# Two step development process

**A Framework for k-12 Science Education**

Produced by BOSE committee,

9 scientists, 9 education experts


Download at [www.nap.edu](http://www.nap.edu)

**Next Generation Science Standards**

Produced by a process led by Achieve Inc.

Involving teams from 26 states

[www.nextgenscience.edu](http://www.nextgenscience.edu)



# Goals of the Framework (shifts)

Science as a coherent body of knowledge and practice

Using results from research on learning to drive more effective science teaching

What do all students need to know and be able to do?

How does this learning build across the years of school?

# Framework call for Science in Three Dimensions

- Scientific and engineering practices

--doing what scientists and engineers do

- Crosscutting concepts

-- making connections across sub-disciplines of science

- Disciplinary core ideas

-- learning to use and apply key science ideas,

not just to memorize facts and apply rote procedures

Le savant doit ordonner ; on fait la science avec des faits comme une maison avec des pierres ; mais une accumulation de faits n'est pas plus une science qu'un tas de pierres n'est une maison.

The knower must organize (the knowledge); one builds science with the facts (data), as (one builds) a house with the stones. But a collection of facts is no more a science than a heap of stones is a house.

Jules Henri Poincaré (29 April 1854 – 17 July 1912)

Students must build 3d science  
knowledge structures

Make conceptual changes  
from their pre-conceptions

# To build a house

- Need building materials—stones, planks, bricks, .... **Disciplinary core ideas**

- Need methods and tools and experience using them

**Science and Engineering practices**

- Need some idea of what you are trying to build, some big ideas about the nature of houses

**Crosscutting Concepts**

# A new vision of the classroom

- Less teacher talk -

Teacher role as facilitator

Introducing phenomena

Orchestrating student work to investigate, model and explain them

Providing science ideas via mini-lectures at key moments

Engaging students in science practices

Asking questions, rather than giving answers.

# Students investigate and explain phenomena

- More student talk and activity
- Work in small groups
- Develop models of system
- Argue about what is observed, how to represent it, what caused it.....
- Incorporate science ideas in models
- Use models to support detailed explanations

# Dimension 1

Science and engineering practices

# Scientific and Engineering Practices

1. Asking questions and defining problems
2. Developing and using models
3. Planning and carrying out investigations
4. Analyzing and interpreting data
5. Using mathematics and computational thinking
6. Developing explanations and designing solutions
7. Engaging in argument from evidence
8. Obtaining, evaluating, and communicating information

Red= not common today

Engineering uses all, only 2 and 6 are distinct

# Practices used in making sense of phenomena

- Models support explanations
- Argument from evidence to refine models and explanations
- **Applying science concepts**
- Data analysis turns data to evidence, uses mathematics and computational thinking
- Explanations (or failure of explanation) prompt new questions and investigations
- Need to obtain, evaluate and communicate information at every stage

# Students must engage in all practices

- To understand how scientific knowledge is developed (nature of science)
- To support **conceptual change** by confronting their own models with phenomena
- To be able to apply science and engineering knowledge in new contexts

# Explanations are not science theories

- Student must **learn** science ideas
- **Apply** them to understand phenomena or in design projects
- Phenomenon provides a context  
to make the learning meaningful

# Knowledge in use

- More likely to be remembered
- More likely to be used in new problem situations
- More connected to other knowledge and skills

# Developing and using models

- Not just learning about models scientists use, but developing your own
- To **explain** specific phenomena in specific systems
- Incorporate visible and **invisible** features
- Invisible features represent science ideas

# Model building and observation

As in art, so in science,  
the attempt to represent  
drives to more careful observation  
of what is being represented

Decisions must be made:

what to foreground,

what to leave out


how to revise...

# IQWST Assessment: Modeling Smell

Your teacher opened a jar that contained a substance that had an odor. Imagine you had a very powerful microscope that allowed to see the odor up really, really close. What would you see?

- 75% of students create a particle model, 25% a mixed model
- 68% of students include odor particles that are moving in straight lines until they collide into each other; 32% include both odor and air

... draw a picture of what you think the odor looks like between the jar and your nose.



**KEY** ○ (Magnifier)  
\* - Ammonia Molecule  
→ - Movement  
○ - Air Molecules

2. Label what the parts in your drawing (in the magnifier) represent.  
\* - Ammonia Molecules    □ - Tissue soaked in Ammonia in a Jar    → - Movement

3. Now, imagine that a friend of yours from a different science class was looking at

1

# Dimension 2

Cross- cutting concepts

# Cross-cutting concepts

- Concepts (or questions) common to all disciplines, but rarely taught or emphasized
- Tools for thinking and analyzing

Scientists see science as interconnected

- Students cannot make connections unless they are supported and encouraged to do so

# Crosscutting Concepts

## 1. Patterns

What patterns (in form, in behavior, repetition over time) do I notice that need explanation?

## 2. Cause and effect: mechanism and explanation

Can I explain what caused the observed events?

## 3. Scale, proportion and quantity

What scale, what proportional relationships and what units of measure must I consider?

## 4. Systems and system models

What is the relevant system, and what is my model for it?

# Cross-cutting concepts (continued)

## 5. Energy and matter: flows, cycles and conservation

How do energy and matter flow into, out of, and within this system?

## 6. Structure and function

How does the shape and structure of this part relate to how it functions?

## 7. Stability and change

Under what conditions is this system stable?

What makes it change?

# Example: energy as a cross cutting idea

How do teachers in one course build on knowledge gained in a different course?

Physicists, chemists, earth scientists and biologists all talk about energy  
**Can students connect the very different usages?**

**Not as we teach it today!**

Teachers in all disciplines need to adjust their language around energy toward a more common conception

and need to discuss the disciplinary differences in usage and the reasons for them.

# Dimension 3

Disciplinary core ideas

# Core Ideas - Building a firm base

- A limited number of critical ideas that feed all more detailed science understandings
- What ideas are essential to understand our world?

As citizens, as consumers, eg for our own health and that of our community

# Criteria: A core idea for K-12 science instruction is a scientific idea that

- Has broad importance across multiple science or engineering disciplines or is a key organizing concept of a single discipline
- Provides a key tool for understanding or investigating more complex ideas and solving problems
- Relates to the interests and life experiences of students or can be connected to societal or personal concerns that require scientific or technical knowledge
- Is teachable and learnable over multiple grades at increasing levels of depth and sophistication

# Core ideas

- **Physical Sciences** (matter, forces and interactions, energy, waves and information )
- **Life Sciences** (molecules to organisms, ecosystems, heredity and variation, evolution and biodiversity)
- **Earth and space sciences**  
(earth in space, earth systems science, human interactions)

purple => not emphasized in current curriculum

# More core ideas

- **Engineering Technology and Applications of Science** (engineering design; interactions of science, engineering technology and society)

**This is new!**

If not in science then where in school do students meet these ideas?

Applications make science meaningful to many students

# Engineering

- 8 practices and 2 core ideas
- Designing solutions to real world problems requires students to apply (and develop) their understanding of science
- Knowing design principles helps inform effective design practice

# Integrating the Dimensions

- To facilitate students' learning the three dimensions must be built together in **standards**, assessments, curriculum and instruction
- Students should explore a core idea by engaging in the practices and making connections to crosscutting concepts.

# Next Generation Science Standards

- National model, not federal mandate
- Based in detail on Framework
- Developed with 26 state teams
- Standards as “performance expectations”

# Barriers to change

- **Political** – Evolution and Climate Change (human impacts on Earth systems)
- **Practical**: Classroom space and time,  
Teacher knowledge,  
Resources for professional development  
Resources for classroom investigation and design activity  
Student and parent expectations

# Engaging for girls (not an issue for biosciences)

- Science as useful knowledge  
vs knowledge for its own sake
- Design experience makes engineering an option, not a mysterious male domain
- Engaging in practices  
builds confidence in one's own thinking

Some evidence suggests these approaches help

# Other under-represented students

- **Doing** science is broadly engaging
- Supports **language learning** and “code switching”
- Supports and motivates  
reading and math learning

# Why consider language learning in science?

Increasing fraction of students whose home language is not english

(in CA 43%, 22% classified as english learners ie not yet english competent)

Large differences by social class in language level (in home language) at school entry (factor of 10 in vocabulary)

Poor language development is a major barrier to school success

Science demands & supports language growth

# Undergraduate science

- Same learning theory (and more) implies need for change
- Early **research experience**
- Prospective science teachers need to experience **science practices** in college science