9.0 Use of Funds Received from Inventions: Funds received by the San Diego State University Research Foundation through royalties or licenses or other consideration for inventions shall be distributed in accordance with the Distribution of Royalties Guidelines developed by the University Copyrights and Patents Committee, recommended by the Vice President for Research, and approved by the President. Such funds shall be used to support scholarly activities at the university with these exceptions:

9.1 Funds generated by a unit of the university (e.g., an academic department) in the performance of a specific ongoing function may be used to defray the actual expenses of that function. Specific letters of agreement may be entered into between the university and entities involved in such activities.

9.2 Royalty income allocated to the inventor(s) in accordance with a Patent Agreement (sec. 6.0) between the inventor and the SDSU Research Foundation shall be unconstrained by the stipulations of this section.

9.3 The disposition of funds accruing to the Associated Students shall be unconstrained by the stipulations of this section.

10.0 Amendments: Changes in or exceptions to this policy shall be recommended by the University Research Council and the Vice President for Research for approval by the Senate and the President.

Research, Classified or Otherwise Privileged

1.0 San Diego State University shall not engage in classified or otherwise privileged research.

1.1 “Classified research” shall refer to projects restricted in whole or in part for purposes of national security.

1.2 “Otherwise privileged research” shall refer to projects that involve requests from sponsors or others for the maintenance of confidentiality and that are subject to one or more of the following restrictions:

   a. The research or its results shall be neither in whole nor in part freely publishable.

   b. Manuscripts and other documents generated from the research shall be subject to outside sponsor restrictions on publications of more than 90 days or to editorial changes by the sponsor without consent of the author.

   c. Proprietary information shall be restricted by confidentiality agreement(s) as to be so pervasive or so central to the research that even the general nature of the research and its methodology cannot be disclosed, and the university can no longer ensure or accept legal responsibility for adequate oversight of the research by appropriate faculty or administrators not party to the confidentiality agreement(s).

1.3 Maintenance of confidentiality in research for protection of individual rights to privacy pursuant to the university’s Guidelines on the Protection of Human Subjects, the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act, the San Diego State University Student Records Policy, and other applicable state and federal regulations shall not be construed as privileged research.

2.0 Requests by faculty members or students for exceptions to this policy shall be forwarded through the Dean of the College to the University Research Council for recommendation to and approval by the Vice President for Research and the President of the university.

2.1 Privileged research may be acceptable under the following conditions:

   a. Brief delays in the publication of research for patenting or other propriety purposes (one year maximum on approval of the Associate Vice for Research or for sponsor review and comment on manuscripts (not to exceed 90 days) provided that no sponsor agree that no changes in the manuscript be made without the consent of the author;

   b. Protection of specifically identified proprietary information essential for the conduct of the research that is disclosed to the San Diego State University investigator by an outside sponsor, person, or entity under the terms of a confidentiality agreement between the investigator, the San Diego State University Research Foundation, and the owner of the intellectual property;

   c. Maintenance of confidentiality in certain research-related activities primarily undertaken as a service (e.g., certain subcontracted clinical trial studies) wherein the project director certifies in advance that the activity is not primarily “research” and the results are not independently publishable (on approval of the President of the university or designee).

2.2 No thesis or dissertation shall be accepted as the basis for a degree if it is subject to restraints imposed by privileged research. The Dean of the Graduate Division may, however, approve requests from students to withhold library shelving of a thesis or dissertation approved by the faculty for up to one year to protect the patent or other proprietary rights of students and faculty.

3.0 In national emergencies, the President of the university may elect to accept classified research upon the recommendations by the Dean of the College, the University Research Council, and the Vice President for Research.

Research and Scholarship, Integrity in

1.0 Members of the academic community involved in the conduct of research and scholarship shall adhere to the highest standards of ethical behavior. This policy applies to all individuals at San Diego State University including those engaged in research that is supported by the Public Health Service (PHS) or for which an application has been submitted. The procedures herein shall protect the rights and reputations of parties involved in alleged misconduct and shall cover allegations involving students employed on research grants or contracts. Allegations of academic dishonesty by students engaged in research, as part of their academic program shall be dealt with according to University academic policies, student disciplinary procedures, and regulations of Graduate and Research Affairs.

2.0 Guidelines for Identifying Misconduct: Consistent with the Public Health Service (PHS) definition (42 CFR 93.103), research misconduct means “fabrication, falsification, or plagiarism for proposing, performing, or reporting research results” and shall not include honest error or honest differences in interpretations or judgments of data. The same shall apply to scholarship.

The definitions of misconduct in academic research and scholarship are as follows:

   a) Fabrication is making up data or results and recording or reporting them.

   b) Falsification is manipulating research materials, equipment, or processes, or changing or omitting data or results such that the research is not accurately represented in the research record.

   c) Plagiarism is the appropriation of another person’s ideas, processes, results, or words without giving appropriate credit.
3.0 Procedures for Handling Allegations of Misconduct

3.1 Inquiry Process. Initial reports or charges of misconduct in research or scholarship shall be directed first to the dean of the college in which the alleged misconduct has occurred. The dean shall inform the department or program chair and the research or scholarship supervisor(s) of the allegations. The dean shall also inform the involved faculty member(s) or nonfaculty employee(s) of the nature of the allegations, the nature of the inquiry, and the rights of the parties involved. Diligent efforts will be made to protect the positions and reputations of those who, in good faith, make allegations of scientific misconduct.

3.2 The college dean, after consultation with the department or program chair and appropriate research or scholarship supervisor(s) with appropriate expertise for inquiries, shall conduct promptly a preliminary inquiry to determine whether there is sufficient prima facie evidence to merit a formal investigation of the charges and shall inform the Vice President for Research or designee that a preliminary inquiry is under way. Precautions shall be taken to prevent real or apparent conflicts of interest on the part of those involved in the inquiry. Confidentiality shall be strictly maintained throughout the inquiry to the extent allowed by law. If an inquiry is terminated for any reason without completing all relevant requirements, a report summarizing the termination shall be sent to the appropriate official (ORI for PHS funded research). For PHS funded research, the Vice President for Research or designee shall notify the Office of Research Integrity (ORI) of the Public Health Service within 24 hours of obtaining reasonable indication of an immediate health hazard, need to protect Federal funds or equipment and individuals affected by the inquiry, and that the alleged incident may be publicly reported.

3.3 The college dean shall forward without delay a written report to the Vice President for Research or designee. An inquiry must be completed within 60 calendar days of its initiation unless circumstances clearly warrant a longer period. Any extension of this period shall be based on good cause and recorded in the inquiry file. The written report shall (a) state the evidence reviewed, (b) summarize relevant interviews, and (c) include the conclusions of the inquiry. A copy of the report of the inquiry shall be given to the person(s) against whom the allegation has been made, and their comments on that report may become part of the record. Detailed documentation of the inquiry shall be maintained by the Vice President for Research or designee for at least three years and, in federally funded research, shall be provided upon request to authorized personnel. Appropriate interim administrative action shall be taken to protect Federal funds and ensure that the purposes of the Federal financial assistance are being carried out.

3.4 If, after consideration of the report of the inquiry, the Vice President for Research or designee determines that there is not sufficient prima facie evidence to support the charges, no further action need be taken, and the Provost and the parties involved shall be so informed. Efforts shall be taken, as appropriate, to restore the reputations of persons alleged to have engaged in misconduct when allegations are not confirmed.

3.5 Investigation Process. If, after consideration of the report of the inquiry, the Vice President for Research or designee determines that sufficient prima facie evidence supports the charges, the Vice President for Research or designee shall appoint within 30 days of the completion of the inquiry an ad hoc committee with appropriate expertise to conduct a formal investigation, and the Provost shall be so notified. The membership of the committee shall consist of at least four knowledgeable and impartial persons including one representative from the department, program, or auxiliary unit of the involved faculty member(s) or nonfaculty employee(s) and three faculty members from related departments, programs, or areas. For externally funded research, the Vice President for Research or designee may also appoint a member of the San Diego State University Research Foundation as an ex officio member to represent the interests and legal obligations of the Research Foundation. In addition, the Vice President for Research or designee may appoint members from outside the institution in order to broaden the expertise of the committee. Precautions shall be taken against the investigators’ real or apparent conflicts of interest.

3.6 As soon as the committee has been requested to conduct a formal investigation, the Vice President for Research or designee shall notify the accused faculty member(s) or nonfaculty employee(s) of the charges and the function of the committee. In consultation with the Provost and the college dean, the Vice President for Research or designee may determine that the research activities of the involved researcher(s) may be restricted or monitored during the course of the investigation. The Vice President for Research or designee shall also notify the President, the Provost, the school director or the department or program chair, and for funded research the Chief Executive Officer of the San Diego State University Research Foundation and the project officer(s) of the funding agencies that a formal investigation involving charges of misconduct in research or scholarship has been initiated. For PHS funded research, the Director of the Office of Research Integrity shall be notified in writing on or before the date the investigation begins, and such notification shall include (a) the name of the person(s) against whom the allegations have been made, (b) the general nature of the allegations, and (c) the application or grants involved. If an investigation is terminated for any reason without completing all relevant requirements, a report summarizing the termination shall be sent to the appropriate official (ORI for PHS funded research). Confidentiality of the affected individual(s) shall be strictly maintained throughout the investigation to the extent possible.

3.7 The Vice President for Research or designee shall convene the committee, appoint one of the faculty on the committee to serve as chair, present the charges and allegations, and discuss University and Research Foundation policies and procedures pertinent to the investigation. The committee shall investigate all charges and facts and may interview parties appropriate to reaching a decision regarding the merit or lack of merit to the charges. The chair of the committee shall meet with the appropriate personnel officers (viz., Associate Vice President for Faculty Affairs, Director of the Center for Human Resources, and Research Foundation Director of Human Resources) regarding existing procedures and safeguards to protect all parties’ rights and reputations before carrying out the investigation. Diligent efforts shall be made to protect the positions and reputations of those who, in good faith, made allegations of scientific misconduct. The committee shall begin its investigation promptly and shall provide a written report of its findings and recommendations to the Vice President for Research or designee no later than 60 days after beginning the formal investigation. The Vice President for Research or designee may either accept the report or return it to the committee for further information or clarification. The committee shall also forward the final copy of this report to the accused parties, who shall have an opportunity to comment on the findings. The investigation shall be completed within 120 calendar days. For PHS funded research, the Vice President for Research or designee shall notify the Office of Research Integrity (ORI) of the Public Health Service within 24 hours of a reasonable indication of possible criminal violations are found or about an immediate health hazard, need to protect Federal funds or equipment and individuals affected by the investigation and that the alleged incident may be publicly reported. Appropriate interim administrative action shall be taken to protect Federal funds and ensure that the purposes of the Federal financial assistance are being carried out. ORI shall be promptly advised of any developments during the course of the investigation which disclose facts that may affect current or potential DHHS funding for individual(s) under investigation or that the PHS needs to know to ensure appropriate use of Federal funds and otherwise protect the public interest. If the investigation cannot be completed within 120 days, a request for extension shall be made to the ORI with an explanation for the delay, an interim report on progress to date, an outline of what remains and an estimated date of completion.

3.8 If from the findings and recommendations of the committee the Vice President for Research or designee determines that no unethical or fraudulent acts have been committed, all parties shall be notified accordingly. The Vice President for Research or designee and the college dean shall undertake all necessary efforts to restore fully and promptly the reputation and credibility of the researcher(s) under investigation when allegations are not confirmed. All interim restrictions on research activity shall be promptly removed.

3.9 If from the findings and recommendations of the committee, the Vice President for Research or designee determines that there is evidence of misconduct, he or she shall report to the Provost this conclusion and recommendations regarding the imposition of sanctions or disciplinary action.
San Diego State University shall be committed to creating a community free from the crimes of sexual assault, domestic violence, and stalking. All members of the San Diego State University community shall uphold this policy.

2.0 The university shall cooperate fully in any criminal prosecution or civil litigation that may occur as a result of crimes of sexual assault, domestic violence, or stalking that are committed on the campus, at an off-campus facility, or at a university function.

3.0 A person who is convicted of committing these crimes on university property, at a university off-campus facility, or at a university function shall face maximum campus sanctions as prescribed by policies, codes, and collective bargaining agreements that govern the behavior of students, faculty, staff, and guests or visitors.

4.0 Members of the campus community and their guests or visitors should report crimes of sexual assault, domestic violence, and stalking to the appropriate office designated for receiving reports of sexual harassment. Complaints related to auxiliaries may also be reported to the Office of Employee Relations and Compliance.

5.0 This policy has been enacted by San Diego State University in accordance with California State Law, Assembly Bill 3098, Postsecondary Education: Student Safety (July 1990).

Sexual Harassment

1.0 San Diego State University shall be committed to preventing sexual harassment and to promptly addressing violations of this policy. The university shall create and maintain a positive learning and working environment for its students and employees and shall not tolerate sexual harassment. Nothing herein shall contravene rights guaranteed in the Constitution of the State of California or the Constitution of the United States.

2.0 Sexual harassment and its behaviors shall be prohibited by the university: Sexual harassment is demeaning and degrading and a form of prohibited discrimination on the basis of sex. As an affront to one’s dignity, sense of self, and self-esteem, it can negatively impact performance at work or in an academic setting.

3.0 Sexual harassment is illegal: It is a violation of state and federal laws including the California Education Code, sec. 212.5, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972. Sexual harassment is specifically prohibited in The California State University by CSU Executive Order 927.

4.0 Scope

4.1 This policy shall apply to all persons at the university or attending university sponsored classes, events, and programs. Visitors to the campus and workers employed by private firms engaged in business on the campus shall comply with this policy.

4.2 The SDSU Research Foundation, the Campanile Foundation, Aztec Shops, and the Associated Students, as auxiliaries of the university, also have policies that prohibit sexual harassment. These policies apply to programs and employees of the respective auxiliaries and each has a procedure and office designated for receiving reports of sexual harassment. Complaints related to auxiliaries may also be reported to the Office of Employee Relations and Compliance.

4.3 The university and its auxiliaries shall promptly and reasonably investigate complaints of sexual harassment. If the alleged harasser is an employee of the university or of an auxiliary, the employer shall investigate and implement remedies and discipline as appropriate. Wherein the alleged harasser is not an employee or student, the university or auxiliary shall take action to minimize the recurrence of the harassing behavior. Although each auxiliary has a reporting procedure, complaints may be reported to the Office of Employee Relations and Compliance, which shall redirect the complainant to the appropriate auxiliary.

5.0 Definitions

5.1 Sexual harassment shall be the unwelcome imposition of sexual attention often in the context of a relationship of unequal power. This policy shall apply to men and women equally with regard to both opposite sex and same sex harassment.